

Critique of Gotha Programme (1878, after Paris Commune in 1871)



By Karl Marx

Introduction

By Leon Trotsky

And so the Gotha –
which means to be in a
constant and always
churning of working
class agitation which
then is politics – in fact
only politics – which
then means economics

is biased to the good life
of the poor and so
everyone is well
represented – not the
liberal process of
representing the state
and serving the poor
perhaps which leads to
a crisis. We thank Sirohi
for this publication.

Introduction
By Suneet Chopra
(CPIM, India)

And so in fact Marx means only to follow the trade union, the way Sirohi saw the poor in his KNS days – and it means just that. Now if we add a party of a mass character it mobilizes them recently we mean to KNS type experiences which have to become mass party forms – and that then seen from the poor's standpoint is the whole process of Marx – he

means after Paris
Commune now Gotha
Programme – a party of
a new type which
mobilizes the masses
and that then is French
revolution as a mass
line. The capital and
state will then be
following the Gotha,
which means the
organized
representation of the
poor as winning also in
fact history – but he
means also in

permanence like what Sirohi calls permanent revolution – the line is called off too often in Mao and even Lenin, and finally Cuba.

I. A Party but of what Type?

And so the Communist Party is not just wild Blanquism of a revolution. It is a

revolution first, but the Party then must be with a class dictatorship.

II. The Party is
representative of
the poor and
working class trade
union

And so the party is not the bourgeois party of all and for all in mass democracy like a liberal claim for all.

The party serves the poor and working class in mass and permanent agitation as the party's class character.

III. Now Engels edit this -

I want the party programme and its process against Dongists and Blanquism in Aleatory manners - I

want it to reflect a
Gotha Programme.

Part II – Engels editing
this manuscript

- I. Trade unionism
- II. The spirit of the
living question of
the working class in
their conditions of
poverty and such
poverty and working
class living

III. Organising there
the working masses
IV. Party as just this
process.

A rejection of this party
of a general
representation but bias
to the proletariat then is
a rejection of the
category of party – the
party then faces capital
and asks it to work for
the liberalism of it all. It
means capital is just
phantom like sucking

the blood off the
proletariat – now I mean
politics and just that –
be a political French
radical and support the
Jacobins but as
Napoleon – that is my
Brumaire.

Part III

(1929, Publication in
China)

Mao here, I argue that the Gotha Programme should in fact be a Communist Party of the mass line and mass Paris Commune type – this radicalism is measured into trade unionism – it means that the state will then truly serve the people and then capital and public sector capital will succeed because it works for all the masses.

I. Masses

II. Party

III. Mass Politics

Economics and all just
working for high wages,
which is Communism -
in the bustling streets of
Je-nan at the moment. -
Mao